

Appendix A: Charter School LCAPs Analyzed

Los Angeles

Charter School - and network, if applicable	Authorizer	S&C Funds Received in 2017-2018	S&C Funds Budgeted in LCAP	Parent Engagement Metrics?	Student Survey Metric?	Charter describes and justifies how schoolwide services funded by S&C are directed towards and effective for high need students?
Accelerated Charter Elementary (The Accelerated Schools)	Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)	\$1,084,811	Unable to calculate	No, neither	No	No. The charter school marked this section as not applicable.
Alliance Cindy and Bill Simon Technology Academy (Alliance College-Ready Public Schools)	LAUSD	\$1,404,690	\$6,314,796	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Identifies school-wide services and explains how some services are principally directed but does not discuss their effectiveness for high need students.
Barack Obama Charter (Ingenium Schools)	State Board of Education	\$831,392	\$1,132,742	Yes, both	Yes	No. Identifies school-wide services and explains how two are principally directed toward high need students but does not discuss program effectiveness for high need students.
Granada Hills (None)	LAUSD	\$806,450	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Identifies school-wide services and explains how services are principally directed but does not clearly discuss their effectiveness for high need students.
Monseñor Oscar Romero Charter (YPI Charter Schools)	LAUSD	\$784,943	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	Yes, but vague.	No. Does not identify actions or services, instead only restates goals and measurable outcomes.
New Designs - Watts (New Designs Charter Schools)	LAUSD	\$1,158,814	\$1,158,814	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Only identifies programs, does not explain how they are principally directed or effective for high needs students.
Oscar De La Hoya Animo Charter High School (Green Dot Public Schools)	LAUSD	\$1,668,409	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Rich discussion of charter network's educational model, but no explanation of how programs are principally directed or effective for high needs students.
Optimist Charter School (Optimist Youth Homes & Family Services)	Los Angeles COE	\$1,244,877	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	No	No. Increased or Improved Services Section is barely addressed. All school-wide programs are not identified, and neither principally directed nor effectiveness are explained.
University Preparatory Value High (Value Schools)	LAUSD	\$955,969	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Identifies four programs, two of which are clearly principally directed. However, the others are not clearly principally directed, and effectiveness is missing for all.

Oakland

Charter School - and network, if applicable	Authorizer	S&C Funds Received in 2017-2018	S&C Funds Budgeted in LCAP	Parent Engagement Metrics?	Student Survey Metric?	Charter describes and justifies how schoolwide services funded by S&C are directed towards and effective for high need students?
American Indian Public Charter (American Indian Model Schools)	Oakland Unified School District (OUSD)	\$1,224,295	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	Yes	No. Some school-wide programs are identified. However, they are not thoroughly explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Aspire Lionel Wilson College Preparatory Academy (Aspire Public Schools)	OUSD	\$1,162,850	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are not identified or explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Cox Academy (Education for Change)	Alameda COE	\$1,246,673	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Downtown Charter Academy (Amethod Public Schools)	OUSD	\$443,753	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified, and helpful details of each expenditure are provided. Some services are principally directed toward high need students, but the effectiveness of each action is not explained.
KIPP Bridge Academy (KIPP Public Schools)	OUSD	\$997,419	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are not identified or explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Lazear Charter Academy (Education for Change)	Alameda COE	\$960,201	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Some schoolwide services are briefly identified, but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Lighthouse Community Charter (Lighthouse Community Public Schools)	OUSD	\$604,859	Unable to calculate	No, neither	No	No. Schoolwide services are neither identified nor explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Lodestar (Lighthouse Community Public Schools)	OUSD	\$227,700	Unable to calculate	Yes, both	No, but they did measure for 16-17	No. Schoolwide services are neither identified nor explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Oakland Charter High School (Amethod Public Schools)	OUSD	\$1,011,966	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified, and details of each are provided. Some services are principally directed toward high need students, but the effectiveness of each action is not explained.
Roses in Concrete (None)	OUSD	\$397,682	Unable to calculate	Yes, both	No	No. Some schoolwide services are briefly identified, but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.

Richmond

Charter School - and network, if applicable	Authorizer	S&C Funds Received in 2017-2018	S&C Funds Budgeted in LCAP	Parent Engagement Metrics?	Student Survey Metric?	Charter describes and justifies how schoolwide services funded by S&C are directed towards and effective for high need students?
Aspire Richmond California College Prep (Aspire Public Schools)	West Contra Costa Unified (WCCUSD)	\$665,447	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide programs are briefly identified but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Aspire Richmond Technology Academy (Aspire Public Schools)	WCCUSD	\$544,623	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide programs are briefly identified but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Caliber: Beta Academy (Caliber Schools)	Contra Costa COE	\$1,413,259	\$660,000	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Schoolwide programs are briefly identified but none are explained as principally directed towards or effective for high need students.
Leadership Public Schools: Richmond (Leadership Public Schools)	WCCUSD	\$1,205,601	\$1,441,835	No, neither	No	No. Schoolwide programs are identified but are not explained as principally directed toward or effective for high need students.
Manzanita Middle School (None)	WCCUSD	\$168,643	Unable to calculate	No, neither	No	No. The LCAP only identifies services but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Richmond College Prep (Richmond College Prep Schools)	WCCUSD	\$776,974	\$814,158	No, neither	Yes	No. The LCAP only identifies services but none are explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Summit Public School: Tamalpais (Summit Public Schools)	WCCUSD	\$158,748	Unable to calculate	Yes, both	Yes	No. Some services are identified and include a description of how they are effective but not how they are principally directed to high needs students.

Sacramento

Charter School - and network, if applicable	Authorizer	S&C Funds Received in 2017-2018	S&C Funds Budgeted in LCAP	Parent Engagement Metrics?	Student Survey Metric?	Charter describes and justifies how schoolwide services funded by S&C are directed towards and effective for high need students?
Aspire Alexander Twilight College Preparatory Academy (Aspire Public Schools)	San Juan Unified School District	\$433,698	\$309,000	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are neither identified nor explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Aspire Capitol Heights Academy (Aspire Public Schools)	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$525,549	\$528,000	No, neither	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified but not explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Fortune Schools (6 Fortune Schools included in 1 LCAP)	Sacramento COE	\$12,194,119	Unable to calculate (note they tracked some S&C in 16-17)	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are neither identified nor explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Gateway International (Gateway Community Charters)	San Juan Unified School District	\$1,130,026	\$835,104	Participation: No Decision making: Yes	No	No. Identifies school-wide services but only explains how its goals are principally directed towards high needs students. Does not explain how schoolwide services are effective for high need students.
Language Academy of Sacramento (None)	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$928,212	Unable to calculate	Yes, both	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.
Leroy Greene Academy (Natomas Unified School District)	Natomas Unified School District	\$620,783	Unable to calculate	Participation: No; Decision making: Yes	Yes	No. The charter school marked this section as not applicable.
Westlake Charter (Natomas Unified School District)	Natomas Unified School District	\$418,286	Unable to calculate	Participation: Yes; Decision making: No	No	No. Schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as principally directed or effective for high need students.

San Jose

Charter School - and network, if applicable	Authorizer	S&C Funds Received in 2017-2018	S&C Funds Budgeted in LCAP	Parent Engagement Metrics?	Student Survey Metric?	Charter describes and justifies how identified services are directed towards and effective for high need students?
ACE Empower Academy (ACE Charter Schools)	Santa Clara COE	\$866,932	\$670,896	No, neither	Yes	No. Some schoolwide services are identified but they are not explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Alpha: Jose Hernandez Middle School (Alpha: Public Schools)	Santa Clara COE	\$1,062,747	Unable to calculate	No, neither	Yes - if annual survey is for students	No. Some schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
B. Roberto Cruz Leadership Academy (Foundation for Hispanic Education)	East Side Union High School District (ESHUSD)	\$497,627	\$3,000	No, neither	No	No. Some schoolwide services are identified. Services are not explained, however, as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Blackford Elementary (Campbell Union School District)	Campbell Union School District	\$769,359	\$182,942	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	No	No. Some schoolwide services are briefly identified, but they are not explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Downtown College Prep: Alum Rock -- Middle & High Schools (Downtown College Preparatory)	Santa Clara COE	\$1,558,671	Unclear (note they tracked S&C in 16-17)	No, neither	Yes	No. Some schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
KIPP San Jose Collegiate (KIPP Public Charter Schools)	ESHUSD	\$1,038,482	Unable to calculate	Yes, both	No	No. One schoolwide service is identified but is not explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Latino College Preparatory Academy (Foundation for Hispanic Education)	ESHUSD	\$1,169,882	\$63,375	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	No	No. Some schoolwide services are identified but are not explained as explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Lynhaven Elementary (Campbell Union School District)	Campbell Union School District	\$785,567	\$140,091	No, neither	No	No. Some schoolwide services are briefly identified, but they are not explained as principally directed towards and effective for high need students.
Rocketship Academy Brilliant Minds (Rocketship Public Schools)	Santa Clara COE	\$1,409,358	\$1,279,638	Participation: Yes Decision making: No	Yes	No. Schoolwide services are identified—including furniture—and details of the expenditures are provided. They are not explained, however, as principally directed towards and effective for high needs students.
Summit Public School: Rainier (Summit Public Schools)	East Side Union High School District	\$298,246	\$300,000	Participation: Yes Decision making: Yes	Yes	No. Some services are identified and include an explanation of how they are effective. However, there is no explanation of how they are principally directed towards high need students.

Appendix B: 2017-2018 Charter LCAPs Unavailable Online¹

LCAPs Provided by Charter School after Email Request

Charter School	Authorizer, County Office of Education, if different	Charter Management Organization, if applicable
Natomas Charter School	Natomas Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
Smythe Academy of Arts and Science	Twin Rivers Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A

LCAPs Provided by Authorizer or County Office of Education (when Charter School did not provide the document)

Charter School	Authorizer, County Office of Education, if different	Charter Management Organization, if applicable
Community School for Creative Education	Alameda County Office of Education	N/A
Envision Academy	Alameda County Office of Education	Envision Education, Inc.

LCAPs Never Provided

Charter School	Authorizer, County Office of Education, if different	Charter Management Organization, if applicable
Aptitud Community Academy at Goss	Alum Rock Union Elementary, Santa Clara COE	N/A
Bachrodt Charter Academy**	San Jose Unified, Santa Clara COE	N/A
Benito Juarez Elementary*	West Contra Costa Unified, Contra Costa COE	Amethod Public Schools
Bowling Green Elementary	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
Capitol Collegiate Academy	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
El Camino Real Charter High	Los Angeles Unified, Los Angeles COE	El Camino Real Alliance
George Washington Carver School of Arts and Science	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
Higher Learning Academy	Twin Rivers Unified, Sacramento	Gateway Community Charters

¹ These LCAPs were requested via email, by a prospective parent. Emails were sent first to the charter school contact on file with the California Department of Education, and then to the LCAP and/or charter school departments at either the Authorizer or the COE. Email records available upon request.

	COE	
Ida Jew Academies	Mount Pleasant Elementary, Santa Clara COE	N/A
Making Waves Academy**	Contra Costa COE	Making Waves Academy
Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep	Natomas Unified, Sacramento COE	P20 Consortium
New Joseph Bonnheim Community Charter School	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
Oak Park Prep	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	St HOPE Public Schools
Oakland Military Institute**	Oakland Unified, Alameda COE	Oakland Military Inst., Coll Prep Academy
Ocean Charter	Los Angeles Unified, Los Angeles COE	Ocean Charter School
Paseo Grande Charter**	Robla Elementary, Sacramento COE	Sierra Educational Advancement Corporation
Richmond Charter Academy*	West Contra Costa Unified, Contra Costa COE	Amethod Public Schools
Sacramento Charter School	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	St HOPE Public Schools
St. HOPE Public School 7	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	St HOPE Public Schools
The MET	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	N/A
View Park Preparatory Accelerated Charter	Los Angeles Unified, Los Angeles COE	ICEF Public Schools
Yav Pem Suab Academy	Sacramento City Unified, Sacramento COE	Urban Charter Schools Collective
Grover Cleveland Charter High	Los Angeles Unified, Los Angeles COE	N/A

* Note that these Amethod charter schools (Benito Juarez and Richmond Charter Academy) had draft LCAPs posted online. We requested but never received a finalized 2017-2018 LCAP.

** Note that our request to the authorizer or the county office of education was not made for these four charter schools.

Appendix C: Charter Management Organization LCAP Approval Processes

This table describes the 2017-2018 LCAP adoption process of Charter Management Organizations that manage at least one school analyzed in this report as well as another charter school in a different municipality.

Charter Management Organization	Number of California charter schools	Cities where charter schools are located	LCAP Approval at a single board meeting for multiple schools in separate cities?	Notes on Meeting Location, Time, whether Video Conference was an Option, whether LCAP Adoption was Placed on the Consent Agenda, and whether there was Documented Public Comment
Alliance College-Ready Public Schools	28	Los Angeles, Sun Valley, Glassell Park, Huntington Park, San Pedro	Unclear	There were no readily accessible board meeting documents.
Amethod Public Schools	6	Oakland, Richmond	Yes. Board meeting minutes for May 17, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2NqTTBU .	2017 - 18 LCAPs for all six charter schools were approved at a single board meeting on May 17, 2017 in Oakland. Amethod did hold a public hearing in Oakland and Richmond the week prior to LCAP approval for two of its charter schools: https://bit.ly/2uG0CkD
Aspire Public Schools	36	Oakland, East Palo Alto, Richmond, Stockton, Sacramento, Modesto, Huntington Park, South Gate, Los Angeles	Yes. Committee meeting minutes for June 15, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2uxll4m .	The Executive and Compensation Committee minutes indicate that all Aspire's 36 California schools were approved at a meeting on June 15, 2017 in Oakland. This Committee appears to be comprised of three of Aspire's (then) nine board members (compare the Committee minutes to the Board minutes from June 15th: https://bit.ly/2mC6ff9 .) The agenda states that public participation was available via videoconference in Stockton and Commerce, CA, but that materials were available only in Oakland: https://bit.ly/2uLrFLb . The minutes do not reflect public comment.
Caliber Schools	2	Richmond, Vallejo	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 14, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2uB9Mif .	Both schools' LCAPs were approved at a single meeting on June 14, 2017 in Richmond. We note that this meeting had separate agenda items for the two LCAPs it approved; all other CMOs in this Appendix approved their multiple LCAPs in one single agenda item. We also note that Caliber was the only CMO in this list that included a copy of the LCAP in online, publicly available board materials: https://bit.ly/2mEIDHT .

Fortune Schools	7	Sacramento, Elk Grove, San Bernardino	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 8, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2zTTJRz agenda with location information available here: http://bit.ly/2L8Nq1J	The LCAPs for the six Sacramento and Elk Grove Fortune School charter schools and San Bernardino's Hardy Brown College Prep were presented and approved at a single board meeting on June 8, 2017 in San Bernardino. Note that all six Sacramento and Elk Grove charter schools are listed in the same charter petition, allowing them to have the same LCAP: https://bit.ly/2O6hM2U
Gateway Community Charters	7	Sacramento, West Sacramento, North Highlands, McClellan, Elk Grove.	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 20, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2uABl5T .	The LCAPs for all seven schools were presented and approved at a single board meeting on June 20, 2017 in McClellan.
Green Dot Public Schools	20	Los Angeles, Venice, Inglewood	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 30, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2uyzns8 .	The minutes for the June 2017 meeting indicate that the 2018 LCAPs for all 20 schools were approved in one daytime meeting in Los Angeles and were on the consent agenda. The public was invited to attend via teleconference at locations in Santa Monica and Monrovia, CA (see https://bit.ly/2J0me2M). There was no documented public comment.
Ingenium Schools	4	Compton, Los Angeles, Canoga Park, Winnetka, Maywood	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 29, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2JH03eX	2017-18 LCAPs for all four charter schools were approved at a special board meeting held over teleconference on June 29, 2017. All five board members participated at different locations which were open to the public in Claremont, Inglewood, Los Angeles and Scottsdale, AZ: http://bit.ly/2JH03eX .
KIPP Public Schools Bay Area	14	San Francisco, Oakland, Redwood City, San Jose, San Lorenzo, East Palo Alto	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 7, 2017 available here: https://bit.ly/2L6WofX .	All 12 Bay Area LCAPs were approved at a single daytime meeting on June 7, 2017 in Oakland. Note that the same practice was followed for the 15 KIPP Los Angeles charter schools.
Leadership Public Schools	3	Hayward, Oakland, Richmond	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 26, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2O1rwe	All three schools' LCAPs were presented and approved on June 26, 2017 at a restaurant in Oakland. No public comment was documented. A call-in number is provided on the agenda for "additional locations," but it is unclear where those locations are, and whether they are open to the public: https://bit.ly/2v9vRUL .
Rocketship Public	13	Antioch, Concord,	Yes. Board meeting	All twelve 2017-2018 LCAPs were placed on the consent calendar and approved at a

Schools		Redwood City, Sunnyvale, San Jose	minutes for May 25, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2JCdFYI	daytime board meeting on May 25, 2017 in San Jose. The meeting was also hosted via teleconference in Concord, Redwood City and San Jose), as well as at locations in Colorado, Maryland, Tennessee, and Wisconsin: http://bit.ly/2Lxoo8L .
Summit Public Schools	8	Sunnyvale, Redwood City, El Cerrito, Richmond, San Jose, Daly City	Yes. Board meeting minutes for June 28, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2uzZ0Ja .	All 2017-2018 LCAPs were placed on a consent agenda and approved at a daytime board meeting on June 8, 2017 in Redwood City. The agenda references alternative locations for public participation, but the location provided is identical to the in-person meeting: https://bit.ly/2uJYfgM .
YPI Charter Schools	3	Pacoima, Arleta, Los Angeles	Yes, we believe. Board meeting minutes for June 29, 2017 available here: http://bit.ly/2JDeFvU .	One item on the June 29, 2017 agenda is listed as "2017-2018 YPICS LCAP" and was approved on this same date in Pacoima. It is unclear whether this one LCAP item included LCAPs for all three charter schools. The agenda states that board members participated by phone from four different locations in Los Angeles and Newhall, CA. There is no mention of whether these locations were open to the public: https://bit.ly/2uMrjz .